



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,426	08/20/2003	Ernest Oxenknecht	60680-1638	7013
10291 7.	590 10/06/2005		EXAM	INER
,	HMAN & GRAUER WARD AVENUE	SICONOLFI, ROBERT		
SUITE 140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48304-0	0610	3683	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{W}					
700	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/644,426	OXENKNECHT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi- - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep- cation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>21 July 2005</i> .				
the state of the s					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	,			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı)□ accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th 11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	ocuments have been received. Incuments have been received in Apethe priority documents have been received in Apethe priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	nmary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Uh

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 11, 14-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson et al. in view of Peterson.

Stephenson et al discloses:

See figure 3 upper base attached to nut 19, lower base 31, first isolation layer 16, second isolation layer 17, isolator attached to first layer 16, annular gap receives tubular portion of mounting surface 14

Stephenson et al does not disclose a first and second tubular member construction. Peterson teaches a first and second tubular member construction (12,14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the first and second tubular member construction as taught by Peterson in the device of Stephenson et al as it has several advantages. First, it eliminates the need to have a fastener screwed from both sides which is labor intensive and requires only one fastener. Second, it prevents the mount from being assembled improperly from misalignment. Third, the dimple spring mechanism prevents the mount from falling apart even if the fastener fails.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426

Art Unit: 3683

3. Claims 8, 19, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson, as modified, in view of Schmidt (U. S. Patent no. 3,390,709). Stephenson, as modified, is relied upon as above in paragraph 5. Stephenson, as modified, does not disclose the isolator and isolation layers being made of wire mesh. Schmidt teaches forming an isolator and isolation layers out of wire mesh. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use wire mesh as taught by Schmidt in the device of Stephenson as material selection is merely a design choice. Furthermore, wire mesh is desirable because it has a "stable spring rate and good load carrying ability in the axial direction" (Schmidt column 1 lines 25-27).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the mount of Peterson can not be tightened by just turning head 20 of the fastener. This is incorrect. The washer 51 is spot welded to the bottom element 14 and in combination with the hexagonal surfaces allow the fastener to be threaded by turning the head. Applicants argue on page 12 that the hexagonal surfaces do not properly align the elements of Peterson. Applicants state that the horizontal surfaces appear to align the elements after they already been properly aligned. The examiner does not understand how the applicant can consider something properly aligned when they admit it undergoes further alignment. Applicants further claim that Stephenson does not suffer from any misalignment inadequacies. The examiner disagrees. Both versions of Stephenson require threading a fastener in a hole

Application/Control Number: 10/644,426

Art Unit: 3683

that cannot be seen and is significantly below the hole in the frame. The frame elements of Stephenson are not attached to the rubber elements 17 at all and therefore can shift relative to the threaded holes. Applicants further wonder how nested tubes prevent misalignment. Nested tubes must be placed one inside another and therefore only be off alignment by the difference in diameter of the tubes. In regards to failure of the mount and whether it would fall apart, applicant argues that Stephenson would not fall apart. The examiner disagrees. Stephenson does not have flanges like 22 and 36 of Peterson. There is nothing to prevent element 17 from separating from 18 in figure 2 or 16 from 17 in figure 3.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

RS